

## VIEWPOINT

**David M. Cutler, PhD**  
Department of  
Economics, Harvard  
University, Cambridge,  
Massachusetts.

**Lawrence H.  
Summers, PhD**  
Harvard Kennedy  
School, Cambridge,  
Massachusetts.



[Viewpoint and  
Editorial](#)



[Related article](#)

## The COVID-19 Pandemic and the \$16 Trillion Virus

**The SARS-CoV-2** (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2) pandemic is the greatest threat to prosperity and well-being the US has encountered since the Great Depression. This Viewpoint aggregates mortality, morbidity, mental health conditions, and direct economic losses to estimate the total cost of the pandemic in the US on the optimistic assumption that it will be substantially contained by the fall of 2021. These costs far exceed those associated with conventional recessions and the Iraq War, and are similar to those associated with global climate change. However, increased investment in testing and contact tracing could have economic benefits that are at least 30 times greater than the estimated costs of the investment in these approaches.

Since the onset of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in March, 60 million claims have been filed for unemployment insurance. Before COVID-19, the greatest number of weekly new unemployment insurance claims (based on data from 1967 on) was 695 000 in the week of October 2, 1982. For 20 weeks beginning in late March 2020, new unemployment claims exceeded 1 million per week; as of September 20, new claims have been just below that amount.

### The total cost [of the pandemic] is estimated at more than \$16 trillion, or approximately 90% of the annual gross domestic product of the US.

Recessions feed on themselves. Workers not at work have less to spend, and thus subsequent business revenue declines. The federal government offset much of the initial loss owing to the shutdown, which has averted what would likely have been a new Great Depression. But the virus is ongoing, and thus full recovery is not expected until well into the future. The Congressional Budget Office projects a total of \$7.6 trillion in lost output during the next decade.<sup>1</sup>

Lower output is not the only economic cost of COVID-19; death and reduced quality of life also can be measured in economic terms. To date, approximately 200 000 deaths have been directly attributable to COVID-19; many more will doubtless occur. In the US, approximately 5000 COVID-19 deaths are occurring per week and the estimated effective reproduction number ( $R_t$  [ie, the average number of people who become infected by a person with SARS-CoV-2 infection]) is approximately 1. If these rates continue, another 250 000 deaths can be expected in the next year. Seasonal factors could increase mortality, although whether COVID-19 will display a large seasonal pattern is unknown. In addition to COVID-19 deaths, studies suggest increased deaths from other causes, amounting to almost 40% of COVID-19-related deaths. Thus, if the current tra-

jectories continue, an estimated 625 000 cumulative deaths associated with the pandemic will occur through next year in the US.

Although putting a value on a given human life is impossible, economists have developed the technique of valuing "statistical lives"; that is, measuring how much it is worth to people to reduce their risk of mortality or morbidity. This approach has been used as a standard in US regulatory policy and in discussions of global health policy.<sup>2</sup>

There is a lengthy economic literature assessing the value of a statistical life; for example, in environmental and health regulation. Although no single number is universally accepted, ranges are often used. In environmental and health policy,<sup>3</sup> for example, a statistical life is assumed to be worth \$10 million. With a more conservative value of \$7 million per life, the economic cost of premature deaths expected through the next year is estimated at \$4.4 trillion.

Some individuals who survive COVID-19 are likely to have significant long-term complications, including respiratory, cardiac, and mental health disorders, and may have an increased risk of premature death. Data from survivors of COVID-19 suggest that long-term impairment occurs for approximately one-third of survivors with severe or critical disease.<sup>4</sup> Because there are approximately 7 times as many survivors from severe or critical COVID-19 disease as there are COVID-19 deaths, long-term impairment might affect more than twice as many people as the number of people who die.

Given the predominance of respiratory complications among COVID-19 survivors, affected individuals may be like those with moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, which has been estimated to have a quality-of-life disutility of approximately -0.25 to -0.35. Assuming a total reduction in quality-adjusted life expectancy, including length as well as quality of life, of 35% and taking into consideration the assumed value of a year of life yields an estimated loss from long-term complications of \$2.6 trillion for cases forecast through the next year.

Even individuals who do not develop COVID-19 are affected by the virus. Loss of life among friends and loved ones, fear of contracting the virus, concern about economic security, and the effects of isolation and loneliness have all taken a toll on the mental health of the population. The proportion of US adults who report symptoms of depression or anxiety has averaged approximately 40% since April 2020; the comparable figure in early 2019 was 11.0%.<sup>5</sup> These data translate to an estimated 80 million additional individuals with these mental health conditions related to COVID-19. If, in line with prevailing estimates, the cost of these conditions is valued at about \$20 000 per person per year and the mental health symptoms

**Corresponding  
Author:** David M.  
Cutler, PhD,  
Department of  
Economics, Harvard  
University, 1805  
Cambridge St,  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
([dcutler@fas.harvard.edu](mailto:dcutler@fas.harvard.edu)).

**Table. Estimated Economic Cost of the COVID-19 Crisis**

Category	Cost (billions), US\$
Lost GDP	7592
Health loss	
Premature death	4375
Long-term health impairment	2572
Mental health impairment	1581
Total	16 121
Total for a family of 4	196 475
% of annual GDP	90

Abbreviation: GDP, gross domestic product.

last for only 1 year, the valuation of these losses could reach approximately \$1.6 trillion.

The estimated cumulative financial costs of the COVID-19 pandemic related to the lost output and health reduction are shown in the Table. The total cost is estimated at more than \$16 trillion, or approximately 90% of the annual gross domestic product of the US. For a family of 4, the estimated loss would be nearly \$200 000. Approximately half of this amount is the lost income from the COVID-19-induced recession; the remainder is the economic effects of shorter and less healthy life.

Output losses of this magnitude are immense. The lost output in the Great Recession was only one-quarter as large. The economic loss is more than twice the total monetary outlay for all the wars the US has fought since September 11, 2001, including those in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria.<sup>6</sup> By another metric, this cost is approximately the estimate of damages (such as from decreased agricultural productivity and more frequent severe weather events) from 50 years of climate change.<sup>7</sup>

For this reason, policies that can materially reduce the spread of SARS-CoV-2 have enormous social value. Consider a policy of wide-scale population testing, contact tracing, and isolation. For example, assuming 100 000 individuals are tested, the cost of test-

ing would be approximately \$6 million. According to current values for SARS-CoV-2 prevalence in some areas, approximately 5000 people will test positive.

Many infections could be prevented by this approach. Not every person who tests positive for SARS-CoV-2 is infectious; perhaps 20% of people who test positive are sufficiently late in the course of infection that transmission probabilities are low.<sup>8</sup> In addition, approximately 25% of people who test positive would likely not quarantine.<sup>9</sup> However, given an  $R_t$  of about 1, reducing transmission by 45% could lead to approximately 2750 fewer positive cases. This could prevent about 14 deaths (estimated value  $\approx$  \$96 million) and about 33 critical and severe cases (estimated value  $\approx$  \$80 million). These subsequent cases not occurring could ultimately lead to even fewer cases, but even ignoring that, the projected economic return from the test and trace strategy is approximately 30 times the cost (ie, investment of approximately \$6 million leads to averted costs of an estimated \$176 million).

The Rockefeller Foundation estimates that a policy of 30 million tests weekly would require an additional \$75 billion in spending during the next year<sup>10</sup>; adding the cost of contact tracing might bring the total to approximately \$100 billion.

Congress is currently discussing whether to provide economic support to mitigate the economic damage caused by COVID with legislation following up on the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act. The highest-return investments that should be included in such legislation are increased testing and contact tracing. A minimum of 5% of any COVID economic relief intervention should be devoted to such health measures.

More generally, the immense financial loss from COVID-19 suggests a fundamental rethinking of government's role in pandemic preparation. Currently, the US prioritizes spending on acute treatment, with far less spending on public health services and infrastructure. As the nation struggles to recover from COVID-19, investments that are made in testing, contact tracing, and isolation should be established permanently and not dismantled when the concerns about COVID-19 begin to recede.

#### ARTICLE INFORMATION

**Published Online:** October 12, 2020.  
doi:10.1001/jama.2020.19759

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Cutler reports receiving fees from serving as an expert witness for opioid and vaping litigation, personal fees for article preparation from the Brookings Institution, and research support from the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America outside the submitted work. He is also a commissioner of the Health Policy Commission in Massachusetts. Dr Summers reports receiving personal fees from various financial institutions outside the submitted work and personal fees for article preparation from the Brookings Institution.

**Funding/Support:** This work was funded by the National Institute on Aging under award P01AGO5842.

**Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The National Institute on Aging had no role in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Additional Information:** More information on the calculations is available at <http://scholar.harvard.edu/cutler>.

#### REFERENCES

1. Congressional Budget Office. *An Update to the Economic Outlook: 2020 to 2030*. Congressional Budget Office; 2020.
2. Jameson D, Summers L, Alleyne G, et al. Global health 2035: a world converging in a generation. *Lancet*. 2013;382:1898-1955.
3. Robinson L. COVID-19 and uncertainties in the value per statistical life. *Regulatory Review*. August 5, 2020.
4. Ahmed H, Patel K, Greenwood D, et al. Long-term clinical outcomes in survivors of coronavirus outbreaks after hospitalization or ICU admission: a systematic review and meta-analysis of follow-up studies. *medRxiv*. Preprint posted April 22, 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.04.16.20067975
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Mental health: Household Pulse Survey. Accessed September 5, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/covid19/pulse/mental-health.htm>
6. Crawford NC. United States budgetary costs and obligations of post-9/11 wars through FY2020: \$6.4 trillion. November 13, 2019. <https://watson.brown.edu/costsofwar/files/cow/imce/papers/2019/US%20Budgetary%20Costs%20of%20Wars%20November%202019.pdf>
7. Nordhaus W. Projections and uncertainties about climate change in an era of minimal climate policies. *Am Economic J*. 2018;10(3):333-360. doi:10.1257/pol.20170046
8. World Health Organization. Criteria for releasing COVID-19 patients from isolation. Scientific Brief. June 17, 2020. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/criteria-for-releasing-covid-19-patients-from-isolation>
9. Bilinski A, Mostashari F, Salomon JA. Modeling contact tracing strategies for COVID-19 in the context of relaxed physical distancing measures. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(8):e2019217. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.19217
10. Rockefeller Foundation. National Covid-19 testing and tracing action plan. July 16, 2020. Accessed September 5, 2020. [https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/TheRockefellerFoundation\\_ExecutiveSummary\\_7\\_20.pdf](https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/TheRockefellerFoundation_ExecutiveSummary_7_20.pdf)